

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
OAKLAND DIVISION

7 SONICS, INC., a Delaware corporation,
8 Plaintiff,
9 vs.
10 ARTERIS, INC., a Delaware corporation
11 Defendant.

Case No: C 11-05311 SBA

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR RECONSIDERATION AND
GRANTING MOTION TO
RELATE CASES**

Docket 73

13 On April 24, 2012, Plaintiff Sonics, Inc. ("Plaintiff") filed a motion for leave to file a
14 motion for reconsideration of this Court's determination that Arteris S.A.S. v. Sonics, Inc.,
15 C 12-00434-WHA is not related to Sonics, Inc. v. Arteris, Inc., C 11-05311-SBA under
16 Civil Local Rule 3-12. Dkt. 32. On August 13, 2012, the Court granted Plaintiff leave to
17 file a motion for reconsideration.¹ Dkt. 55. On November 5, 2012, Plaintiff filed a motion
18 for reconsideration. Dkt. 73. On November 9, 2012, Defendant Arteris, Inc. filed a
19 statement of non-opposition. Dkt. 74.

Having read and considered the papers filed in connection with this matter and being fully informed, the Court hereby GRANTS Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration. The Court finds that Plaintiff has demonstrated that reconsideration is appropriate on the ground that new facts emerged after the Court determined that Arteris S.A.S. v. Sonics, Inc., C 12-

25 ¹ To the extent Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration requests that the Court
26 consolidate the two cases, Plaintiff's request is DENIED without prejudice. The Court
27 granted Plaintiff leave to file a motion for reconsideration of the Court's prior related case
28 Order. Because that Order did not involve a determination of whether consolidation is
appropriate, the Court finds that the issue of consolidation is not properly before the Court.
If Plaintiff believes that consolidation is appropriate, Plaintiff may file a duly noticed
motion pursuant to Rule 42 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

1 00434-WHA is not related to Sonics, Inc. v. Arteris, Inc., C 11-05311-SBA. Upon
2 reconsideration of Plaintiff's motion to relate cases, the Court finds that Arteris S.A.S. v.
3 Sonics, Inc., C 12-00434-WHA is related to Sonics, Inc. v. Arteris, Inc., C 11-05311-SBA
4 within the meaning of Civil Local Rule 3-12. Therefore, Arteris S.A.S. v. Sonics, Inc., C
5 12-00434-WHA shall be assigned to the undersigned.

6 Accordingly,

7 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 8 1. Plaintiff's motion for reconsideration is GRANTED.
9 2. Plaintiff's motion to relate Arteris S.A.S. v. Sonics, Inc., C 12-00434-WHA
10 with the instant case is GRANTED. Counsel are advised that all future filings in the
11 reassigned case shall bear the initials SBA immediately after the case number.
12 3. A Case Management Conference is scheduled for December 13, 2012 at
13 3:00 p.m. Prior to the date scheduled for the conference, the parties shall meet and confer
14 and prepare a joint Case Management Conference Statement. Plaintiff is responsible for
15 filing the joint statement no less than seven (7) days prior to the conference date. The joint
16 statement shall comply with the Standing Order for All Judges of the Northern District of
17 California and the Standing Orders of this Court. Plaintiff is responsible for setting up the
18 conference call, and on the specified date and time, shall call (510) 637-3559 with all
19 parties on the line.

20 IT IS SO ORDERED.

21 Dated: 11/21/12


22 SAUNDRA BROWN ARMSTRONG
23 United States District Judge
24
25
26
27
28